

Fine-Grained Similarity Measurement of Educational Videos and Exercises

Xin Wang¹, Wei Huang¹, Qi Liu^{1,*}, Yu Yin¹, Zhenya Huang¹, Le Wu², Jianhui Ma¹, Xue Wang³ ¹University of Science of Technology of China ²Hefei University of Technology ³Nankai University



Introduction

Motivation

- Measuring the similarity between educational videos and exercises is a fundamental task with **broad application prospects**.
- In most cases, an exercise is only similar to parts of the video. Therefore, it would be of great significance to application and user experience if we could further measure the similarity at segment-level, which we call **fine-grained similarity measurement**.
- The problem remains pretty much **open** due to several domain-specific challenges.
- Thus, fully considering the effects of multimodal information, we proposed the VENet to measure the similarity at both video-level and segmentlevel by just exploiting the coarse-grained labeled data on videos.

Challenges

- Educational videos contain not only graphics but also text and formulas, which have a fixed reading order. How to model the **spatial structure** and **temporal information**?
- How to perceive and incorporate the **semantic associations** among segments?





Speaker: Xin Wang Email: shenai@mail.ustc.edu.cn Lab Homepage:

• How to learn the fine-grained similarity by just exploiting the coarse-grained labeled data on videos?

y= 1/2 (2+2) (2+2)

http://bigdata.ustc.edu.cn

VENet

Framework



Input

Video:
$$V = \{Seg_1, ..., Seg_m\}, Seg_i = \{f_i, c_i\}, c_i = \{w_{i1}, ..., w_{it}\}$$

Exercise: $E = \{w_1, ..., w_n\}$

Output

 $S_s(Seg_i, E)$: The similarity score between the *i*-th segment and the exercise. $S_{\nu}(V, E)$: The similarity score between the whole video and the sxercise.

Submodule

SRN

- Encode the **multimodal data** (keyframe and captions) of the segment into the segmantic vector.
- Align the keyframe and captions by **F2C** Attention.
- Model the **spatial structure** and **temporal** information embedded in the keyframe.



SRN

GloVe

ERN

Experiments

Datasets

- There is no public data for this task. So we collect the real-word data from Khan Academy and show how to create a dataset using publically available educational services.
- All of our data is crawled from the math domain, which contains 17,116 math exercises and 1,053 educational videos with closed captions, covering 836 topics.
- We crawl 10,679 similar video-exercise pairs and build an equal number of dissimilar pairs by negative sampling.

Main Results

Model	Input		Task		Model	Video-Level		Segment-Level	
	Text	Frame	Video-Level	Segment-Level	Model	Auc	NDCG	Auc	NDCG
MaLSTM	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	Х	MaLSTM	0.591	0.635	-	-
DeepLSTM	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	×	DeepLSTM	0.778	0.7503	-	-
ABCNN	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	×	ABCNN	0.764	0.7448	-	-
TextCNN	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	×	TextCNN	0.792	0.771	-	-
DeepLSTM (Seg)	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	DeepLSTM (Seg)	0.844	0.7728	0.754	0.7437
TextCNN (Seg)	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	TextCNN (Seg)	0.806	0.7658	0.7418	0.7415
TextualVENet	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	TextualVENet	0.876	0.832	0.768	0.781
3DCNN	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	X	3DCNN	0.654	0.742	-	-
JSFusion	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	JSFusion	0.826	0.788	-	-
EarlyFusion	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	EarlyFusion	0.854	0.7806	0.7863	0.7494
VENet	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	VENet	0.942	0.879	0.871	0.823

Our proposed VENet achieves the best performance at both video-level and segmentlevel, with a significant improvement on all metrics compared to other methods.

ERN

- Initialize the word embedding with **GloVe**.
- Model the word sequence of the exercise by **LSTM**.

MPF

Fusion

Attention

 $A = \sum \alpha_i \cdot v_i,$

 $\alpha_i = \frac{\dot{\phi}(v_i, q)}{\sum_i \phi(v_i, q)}$

- Fuse adjacent segments on multiple scales.
- Weight the fusional vectors according to the exercise by **S2E Attention**.

LSTM

 $f_t = \sigma \big(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \big),$

 $i_t = \sigma(W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i),$

 $o_t = \sigma(W_o \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o),$

 $C_t = f_t \cdot C_{t-1} + i_t \cdot \widetilde{C}_t,$

 $h_t = o_t \cdot \tanh(C_t)$.

 $\widetilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C),$

• In all seven textual models, TextualVENet also performs best.

- Comparing DeepLSTM and DeepLSTM (Seg), we can find that dividing video into segments can improve the performance at video-level significantly.
- The performance of TextualVENet is worse than that of VENet, which shows that the visual data is helpful to accurately understand the video.

Ablation Experiments

Madal	Video	o-Level	Segment-Level		
Model	Auc	NDCG	Auc	NDCG	
TextualVENet	0.876	0.832	0.768	0.781	
VisualVENet	0.624	0.7328	0.6324	0.6931	
VENet	0.942	0.879	0.871	0.823	
VENet-F2C	0.9	0.855	0.8284	0.8198	
VENet-S2E	0.91	0.851	0.846	0.8137	
VENet-HVLSTM	0.89	0.802	0.803	0.795	
VENet-MPF	0.866	0.815	0.789	0.7616	

- The performance of VisualVENet is much worse than TextualVENet, which indicates the textual material is more important than the visual data.
- All the key modules (i.e., F2C, S2E, HVLSTM and MPF) have a significant impact on the final result, which shows the effectiveness of them.
- MPF has the biggest impact on the final results.

Case Study

 $\phi(v_i, q) = \exp(V_* \cdot \tanh(W_* \cdot [v_i, q])).$

 $\widetilde{C}_{i} = [r_{i-w}^{\nu}, \cdots, r_{i}^{\nu}, \cdots, r_{i+w}^{\nu}],$ $fr_{i}^{\nu} = ReLU(W_{fuse} \widetilde{C}_{i} + b_{fuse}).$



Conclusion