Unconstrained Minimization (II)

Lijun Zhang

zlj@nju. edu. cn

http://cs. nju. edu. cn/zlj





Outline

- □ Gradient Descent Method
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Examples
- ☐ General Convex Functions
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Extensions



Outline

- ☐ Gradient Descent Method
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Examples
- ☐ General Convex Functions
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Extensions



General Descent Method

☐ The Algorithm

Given a starting point $x \in \text{dom } f$ **Repeat**

- 1. Determine a descent direction Δx .
- 2. Line search: Choose a step size $t \ge 0$.
- 3. Update: $x = x + t\Delta x$.

until stopping criterion is satisfied.

Descent Direction

$$\nabla f(x^{(k)})^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta x^{(k)} < 0$$



Gradient Descent Method

☐ The Algorithm

Given a starting point $x \in \text{dom } f$

Repeat

- 1. $\Delta x := -\nabla f(x)$
- 2. Line search: Choose step size *t* via exact or backtracking line search.
- 3. Update: $x := x + t\Delta x$.

until stopping criterion is satisfied.

□ Stopping Criterion

$$\|\nabla f(x)\|_2 \le \eta$$



Outline

- ☐ Gradient Descent Method
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Examples
- ☐ General Convex Functions
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Extensions



Preliminary

- $\square x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + t^{(k)} \Delta x^{(k)} \Rightarrow x^+ = x + t \Delta x$
- $\Box \Delta x = -\nabla f(x)$
- □ Define \tilde{f} : $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ as $\tilde{f}(t) = f(x t\nabla f(x))$
 - \blacksquare A quadratic upper bound on \tilde{f}

$$\tilde{f}(t) \le f(x) - t \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 + \frac{Mt^2}{2} \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$$



Analysis for Exact Line Search

1. Minimize Both Sides of

$$\tilde{f}(t) \le f(x) - t \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 + \frac{Mt^2}{2} \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$$

- Left side: $\tilde{f}(t_{\text{exact}})$, where t_{exact} is the step length that minimizes \tilde{f}
- Right side: t = 1/M is the solution $f(x^+) = \tilde{f}(t_{\text{exact}}) \le f(x) \frac{1}{2M} \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$

2. Subtracting p^* from Both Sides

$$f(x^+) - p^* \le f(x) - p^* - \frac{1}{2M} \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$$

ALISH DAILY

Analysis for Exact Line Search

3. $f(\cdot)$ is strongly convex on S

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \ge mI, \quad \forall x \in S$$

 $\Rightarrow \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 \ge 2m(f(x) - p^*)$

4. Combining

$$f(x^+) - p^* \le (1 - m/M)(f(x) - p^*)$$

5. Applying it Recursively

$$f(x^{(k)}) - p^* \le c^k (f(x^{(0)}) - p^*)$$

- c = 1 m/M < 1
- $f(x^{(k)})$ coverges to p^* as $k \to \infty$



- Iteration Complexity
 - $f(x^{(k)}) p^* \le \epsilon \text{ after at most}$ $\frac{\log((f(x^{(0)}) p^*)/\epsilon)}{\log(1/c)} \text{ iterations}$
 - $\log((f(x^{(0)}) p^*)/\epsilon)$ indicates that initialization is important
 - lacksquare $\log(1/c)$ is a function of the condition number M/m
 - When M/m is large

$$\log(1/c) = -\log(1 - m/M) \approx m/M$$



■ Iteration Complexity

 $f(x^{(k)}) - p^* \le \epsilon \text{ after at most}$

$$\frac{\log((f(x^{(0)}) - p^*)/\epsilon)}{\log(1/c)} \approx \frac{M}{m} \log\left(\frac{f(x^{(0)}) - p^*}{\epsilon}\right) \text{ iterations}$$

- $\log((f(x^{(0)}) p^*)/\epsilon)$ indicates that initialization is important
- lacksquare $\log(1/c)$ is a function of the condition number M/m
- When M/m is large

$$\log(1/c) = -\log(1 - m/M) \approx m/M$$



□ Iteration Complexity

- $f(x^{(k)}) p^* \le \epsilon \text{ after at most}$ $\frac{\log((f(x^{(0)}) p^*)/\epsilon)}{\log(1/c)} \text{ iterations}$
- $\log((f(x^{(0)}) p^*)/\epsilon)$ indicates that initialization is important
- lacksquare $\log(1/c)$ is a function of the condition number M/m
- Linear Convergence
 - Error lies below a line on a log-linear plot of error versus iteration number



■ Backtracking Line Search

given a descent direction Δx for f at $x \in \text{dom } f, \alpha \in (0, 0.5), \beta \in (0, 1)$

$$t \coloneqq 1$$

while
$$f(x + t\Delta x) > f(x) + \alpha t \nabla f(x)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta x$$
, $t \coloneqq \beta t$

1. $\tilde{f}(t) \le f(x) - \alpha t \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$ for all $0 \le t \le 1/M$

$$0 \le t \le \frac{1}{M} \Rightarrow -t + \frac{Mt^2}{2} \le -\frac{t}{2}$$

$$\tilde{f}(t) \le f(x) - t \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 + \frac{Mt^2}{2} \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$$



□ Backtracking Line Search

given a descent direction Δx for f at $x \in \text{dom } f, \alpha \in (0, 0.5), \beta \in (0, 1)$

$$t \coloneqq 1$$

while
$$f(x + t\Delta x) > f(x) + \alpha t \nabla f(x)^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta x$$
, $t \coloneqq \beta t$

1. $\tilde{f}(t) \le f(x) - \alpha t \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$ for all $0 \le t \le 1/M$

$$\tilde{f}(t) \le f(x) - (t/2) \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$$

$$\leq f(x) - \alpha t \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$$

a < 1/2



2. Backtracking Line Search Terminates

- Either with t = 1 $f(x^+) \le f(x) - \alpha \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$
- Or with a value $t \ge \beta/M$ $f(x^+) \le f(x) - (\beta \alpha/M) \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$
- So, $f(x^+) \le f(x) - \min\{\alpha, \beta \alpha / M\} \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$
- 3. Subtracting p^* from Both Sides $f(x^+) p^* \le f(x) p^* \min\{\alpha, \beta\alpha/M\} \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$



4. Combining with Strong Convexity

$$f(x^+) - p^* \le \left(1 - \min\left\{2m\alpha, \frac{2\beta\alpha m}{M}\right\}\right) (f(x) - p^*)$$

5. Applying it Recursively

$$f(x^{(k)}) - p^* \le c^k (f(x^{(0)}) - p^*)$$

- $c = 1 \min\left\{2m\alpha, \frac{2\beta\alpha m}{M}\right\} < 1$
- $f(x^{(k)})$ converges to p^* with an exponent that depends on the condition number M/m
- Linear Convergence



Outline

- ☐ Gradient Descent Method
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Examples
- ☐ General Convex Functions
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Extensions



A Quadratic Objective Function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + \gamma x_2^2), \qquad \gamma > 0$$

- The optimal point $x^* = 0$
- The optimal value is 0
- The Hessian of f is constant and has eigenvalues 1 and γ
- Condition number

$$\frac{\max\{1,\gamma\}}{\min\{1,\gamma\}} = \max\left\{\gamma, \frac{1}{\gamma}\right\}$$



A Quadratic Objective Function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + \gamma x_2^2), \qquad \gamma > 0$$

Gradient Descent Method

Exact line search starting at $x^{(0)} = (\gamma, 1)$

$$x_1^{(k)} = \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\right)^k \text{, } x_2^{(k)} = \gamma \left(-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\right)^k \text{Convergence is exactly linear}$$

$$f(x^{(k)}) = \frac{\gamma(\gamma+1)}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\right)^{2k} = \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}\right)^{2k} f(x^{(0)})$$

Reduced by the factor $|(\gamma - 1)/(\gamma + 1)|^2$



Comparisons

- $= m = \min\{1, \gamma\}, M = \max\{1, \gamma\}$
- From our general analysis, the error is reduced by $1 \frac{m}{M}$
- From the closed-form solution, the error is reduced by

$$\left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1 - m/M}{1 + m/M}\right)^2$$



Comparisons

- \blacksquare $m = \min\{1, \gamma\}, M = \max\{1, \gamma\}$
- From our general analysis, the error is reduced by $1 \frac{m}{M}$
- From the closed-form solution, the error is reduced by

$$\left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1 - m/M}{1 + m/M}\right)^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m/M}{1 + m/M}\right)^2$$

When M/m is large, the iteration complexity differs by a factor of 4

NANITAG UNITAG

A Quadratic Problem in R²

Experiments

 \blacksquare For γ not far from one, convergence is rapid





Figure 9.2 Some contour lines of the function $f(x) = (1/2)(x_1^2 + 10x_2^2)$. The condition number of the sublevel sets, which are ellipsoids, is exactly 10. The figure shows the iterates of the gradient method with exact line search, started at $x^{(0)} = (10, 1)$.

□ The Objective Function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = e^{x_1 + 3x_2 - 0.1} + e^{x_1 - 3x_2 - 0.1} + e^{-x_1 - 0.1}$$

Gradient descent method with backtracking line search


$$\alpha = 0.1, \beta = 0.7$$



■ The Objective Function


$$f(x_1, x_2) = e^{x_1 + 3x_2 - 0.1} + e^{x_1 - 3x_2 - 0.1} + e^{-x_1 - 0.1}$$

Gradient descent method with exact line search



Comparisons

■ Both are linear, and exact I.s. is faster





A Problem in R¹⁰⁰

□ A Larger Problem

$$f(x) = c^{\mathsf{T}} x - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log(b_i - \alpha_i^{\mathsf{T}} x)$$

- = m = 500 and n = 100
- Gradient descent method with backtracking line search

$$\alpha = 0.1, \beta = 0.5$$

Gradient descent method with exact line search




A Problem in R¹⁰⁰

Comparisons

Both are linear, and exact l.s. is only a

bit faster



Gradient Method and Condition Number

□ A Larger Problem

$$f(x) = c^{\mathsf{T}} x - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log(b_i - \alpha_i^{\mathsf{T}} x)$$

Replace x by $T\bar{x}$ $T = \operatorname{diag}(1, \gamma^{1/n}, \gamma^{2/n}, ..., \gamma^{(n-1)/n})$

□ A Family of Optimization Problems


$$\bar{f}(\bar{x}) = c^{\mathsf{T}} T \bar{x} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log(b_i - \alpha_i^{\mathsf{T}} T \bar{x})$$

Indexed by γ

Gradient Method and Condition Number

□ Number of iterations required to obtain $\bar{f}(\bar{x}^k) - \bar{p}^* < 10^{-5}$


Backtracking line search with $\alpha = 0.3$ and $\beta = 0.7$



Gradient Method and Condition Number

☐ The condition number of the Hessian $\nabla^2 \bar{f}(\bar{x}^*)$ at the optimum

The larger the condition number, the larger the number of iterations





Conclusions

- 1. The gradient method often exhibits approximately linear convergence.
- 2. The convergence rate depends greatly on the condition number of the Hessian, or the sublevel sets.
- 3. An exact line search sometimes improves the convergence of the gradient method, but the effect is not large.
- 4. The choice of backtracking parameters α , β has a noticeable but not dramatic effect on the convergence.



Outline

- □ Gradient Descent Method
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Examples
- ☐ General Convex Functions
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Extensions



General Convex Functions

- $\Box f(\cdot)$ is convex
- \square $f(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous

$$\|\nabla f(x)\|_2 \le G$$

Gradient Descent Method

Given a starting point $x^{(1)} \in \text{dom } f$

For
$$k = 1, 2, ..., K$$
 do

Update:
$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - t^{(k)} \nabla f(x^{(k)})$$

End for

Return
$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} x^{(k)}$$



Outline

- □ Gradient Descent Method
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Examples
- ☐ General Convex Functions
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Extensions



- \square Define $D = ||x^{(1)} x^*||_2$

$$\leq \nabla f(x^{(k)})^{\mathsf{T}}(x^{(k)} - x^*)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} (x^{(k)} - x^{(k+1)})^{\mathsf{T}} (x^{(k)} - x^*)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\left\| x^{(k)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 - \left\| x^{(k+1)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 + \left\| x^{(k)} - x^{(k+1)} \right\|_2^2 \right)$$



- \square Define $D = ||x^{(1)} x^*||_2$

$$\leq \nabla f(x^{(k)})^{\mathsf{T}}(x^{(k)} - x^*)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} (x^{(k)} - x^{(k+1)})^{\mathsf{T}} (x^{(k)} - x^*)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\left\| x^{(k)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 - \left\| x^{(k+1)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \left\| \nabla f \left(x^{(k)} \right) \right\|_2^2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\left\| x^{(k)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 - \left\| x^{(k+1)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} G^2$$



□ So,

$$f(x^{(k)}) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\left\| x^{(k)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 - \left\| x^{(k+1)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} G^2$$

 \square Summing over k = 1, ..., K

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} f(x^{(k)}) - Kf(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\eta} D^2 + \frac{\eta K}{2} G^2$$

 \blacksquare Dividing both sides by K

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} f(x^{(k)}) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{K} \left(\frac{1}{2\eta} D^2 + \frac{\eta K}{2} G^2 \right)$$
$$= \frac{D^2}{2\eta K} + \frac{\eta}{2} G^2$$



By Jensen's Inequality

$$f(\bar{x}) - f(x^*) = f\left(\frac{1}{K}\sum_{k=1}^K x^{(k)}\right) - f(x^*)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{K}\sum_{t=1}^T f(x^{(k)}) - f(x^*)$$

$$\leq \frac{D^2}{2\eta K} + \frac{\eta}{2}G^2$$

$$= \frac{GD}{\sqrt{K}}$$



Outline

- □ Gradient Descent Method
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Examples
- ☐ General Convex Functions
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Extensions



- \square How to Ensure $\|\nabla f(x)\|_2 \leq G$?
- Add a Domain Constraint

$$min f(x) \\
s. t. x \in X$$

- Can model any constrained convex optimization problem
- □ Gradient Descent with Projection

$$\hat{x}^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - t^{(k)} \nabla f(x^{(k)}), \qquad x^{(k+1)} = P_X(\hat{x}^{(k+1)})$$

Property of Euclidean Projection

$$\left\| x^{(k+1)} - x^* \right\|_2 = \left\| P_X \big(\hat{x}^{(k+1)} \big) - P_X (x^*) \right\|_2 \leq \left\| \hat{x}^{(k+1)} - x^* \right\|_2$$

Gradient Descent with Projection



□ The Problem

min
$$f(x)$$

s.t. $x \in X$

□ The Algorithm

Given a starting point $x^{(1)} \in \text{dom } f$

For
$$k = 1, 2, ..., K$$
 do

Update:
$$\hat{x}^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - t^{(k)} \nabla f(x^{(k)})$$

Projection:
$$x^{(k+1)} = P_X(\hat{x}^{(k+1)})$$

End for

Return
$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} x^{(k)}$$

 \square Assumptions $\|\nabla f(x)\|_2 \leq G$, $\forall x \in X$

NANATING UNITED

Analysis

- \square Define $D = \|x^{(1)} x^*\|_2, x^* \in X$

$$\leq \nabla f(x^{(k)})^{\mathsf{T}}(x^{(k)} - x^*)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} \left(x^{(k)} - \hat{x}^{(k+1)} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \left(x^{(k)} - x^* \right)$$
Projection

Property of Euclidean Projection

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\left\| x^{(k)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 - \left\| \hat{x}^{(k+1)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} G^2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\left\| x^{(k)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 - \left\| x^{(k+1)} - x^* \right\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} G^2$$



Summary

- □ Gradient Descent Method
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Examples
- ☐ General Convex Functions
 - Convergence Analysis
 - Extensions