

Learning Safe Prediction for Semi-Supervised Regression Supplemental Materials

Yu-Feng Li and Han-Wen Zha and Zhi-Hua Zhou

National Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology
Collaborative Innovation Center of Novel Software Technology and Industrialization
Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China
{liyf,zhouzh}@lamda.nju.edu.cn; zhahw12@gmail.com

Abstract

This file contains proofs of theorems 1-3.

Proofs of Theorem

Theorem 1. $\|\bar{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{f}^*\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}^*\|^2$, if the ground truth $\mathbf{f}^* \in \Omega = \{\mathbf{f} \mid \sum_{i=1}^b \alpha_i \mathbf{f}_i, \alpha \in \mathcal{M}\}$.

The proof can be derived following Pythagorean Theorem (theorem 2.4.1 in (Censor and Zenios 1997)).

Theorem 2. $\bar{\mathbf{f}}$ has already achieved the maximal worst-case performance gain against \mathbf{f}_0 , if the ground truth $\mathbf{f}^* \in \Omega$.

Proof. The goal is to show $\bar{\mathbf{f}}$ is the optimal solution of the following functional,

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^u} \min_{\mathbf{f}^* \in \Omega} \left(\|\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}^*\|^2 - \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}^*\|^2 \right) \quad (1)$$

Note that Eq.(1) is equivalent to the follows,

$$\max_{\mathbf{f}} \min_{\mathbf{f}^* \in \Omega} \left(\|\mathbf{f}_0\|^2 - \|\mathbf{f}\|^2 - 2(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_0)^\top \bar{\mathbf{f}}^* \right) \quad (2)$$

Eq.(2) is convex to \mathbf{f} and concave to \mathbf{f}^* , and thus it is convex. Furthermore, by setting to derivative w.r.t. \mathbf{f} to zero, it can be found that \mathbf{f} has a closed-form solution, i.e., $\mathbf{f} = \bar{\mathbf{f}}$. Substituting such an equality into Eq.(1), Eq.(1) turns out to be following functional w.r.t. \mathbf{f}^* (or equivalently \mathbf{f}) only,

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{f} \in \Omega} \left(\|\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}\|^2 \right) \quad (3)$$

Eq.(3) is exactly the same as the projection problem proposed in the paper. Therefore, $\bar{\mathbf{f}}$ is the optimal solution of Eq.(1) and hence Theorem 2 holds. \square

Theorem 3. The increased loss of the proposal against \mathbf{f}_0 , i.e., $\frac{1}{u} \left(\|\bar{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{f}^*\|^2 - \|\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}^*\|^2 \right)$, is at most $\min\{2\|\epsilon\|_1/u, 2\|\epsilon\|_2/\sqrt{u}\}$.

Proof. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^b \lambda_i^* \mathbf{f}_i \in \Omega$ and thus by employing Theorem 1, one can have,

$$\left(\|\bar{\mathbf{f}} - \sum_{i=1}^b \lambda_i^* \mathbf{f}_i\|^2 - \|\mathbf{f}_0 - \sum_{i=1}^b \lambda_i^* \mathbf{f}_i\|^2 \right) \leq 0$$

and it is consequently rewritten as

$$\left((-\|\mathbf{f}_0\|^2 + \|\bar{\mathbf{f}}\|^2 + 2(\mathbf{f}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{f}})^\top \sum_{i=1}^b \lambda_i^* \mathbf{f}_i) \right) \leq 0$$

Since $\mathbf{f}^* = \sum_{i=1}^b \lambda_i^* \mathbf{f}_i + \epsilon$, we then have,

$$\left(\|\bar{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{f}^*\|^2 - \|\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}^*\|^2 \right) \leq 2(\mathbf{f}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{f}})^\top \epsilon$$

and consequently we have

$$\frac{1}{u} \left(\|\bar{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{f}^*\|^2 - \|\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}^*\|^2 \right) \leq \frac{2}{u} (\mathbf{f}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{f}})^\top \epsilon \quad (4)$$

where the LHS refers to increased loss against \mathbf{f}_0 . Further note that

$$2(\mathbf{f}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{f}})^\top \epsilon \leq \min\{2\|\epsilon\|_1, 2\sqrt{u}\|\epsilon\|_2\} \quad (5)$$

using the fact that the predictive values in \mathbf{f}_0 and $\bar{\mathbf{f}}$ are from $[0, 1]$. With Eqs.(4)-(5), Theorem 3 holds. \square

References

Censor, Y., and Zenios, S. A. 1997. *Parallel optimization: Theory, algorithms, and applications*. Oxford University Press.