Duality (II)

Lijun Zhang <u>zlj@nju.edu.cn</u> http://cs.nju.edu.cn/zlj



NANITIAN UNITA

Outline

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- Examples
- □ Generalized Inequalities



Outline

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- Examples
- □ Generalized Inequalities



More Symmetric Form

Assume no equality constraint

$$\sup_{\lambda \ge 0} L(x, \lambda) = \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} \left(f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(x) \right)$$
$$= \begin{cases} f_0(x) & f_i(x) \le 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Suppose $f_i(x) > 0$ for some *i*. Then, $\sup_{\lambda \ge 0} L(x, \lambda) = \infty$ by $\lambda_j = 0, j \ne i$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$
- If $f_i(x) \le 0, i = 1, ..., m$, then the optimal choice of λ is $\lambda = 0$ and $\sup_{\lambda \ge 0} L(x, \lambda) = f_0(x)$



More Symmetric Form

Optimal Value of Primal Problem $p^{\star} = \inf_{x} \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} L(x, \lambda)$ Optimal Value of Dual Problem $d^{\star} = \sup \inf L(x, \lambda)$ $\lambda \geq 0 x$ Weak Duality $\sup \inf L(x,\lambda) \leq \inf \sup L(x,\lambda)$ x $\lambda \geq 0$ $\lambda \geq 0 x$ Strong Duality $\sup \inf L(x,\lambda) = \inf \sup L(x,\lambda)$ x $\lambda \geq 0$ $\lambda \geq 0 x$ Min and Max can be switched



A More General Form

□ Strong Max-min Property
 sup inf f(w,z) = inf sup f(w,z)
 z∈Z w∈W f(w,z) = w∈W z∈Z

 ■ Hold only in special cases



Outline

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- Examples
- □ Generalized Inequalities



Saddle-point Interpretation





Saddle-point Interpretation

 $\square \widetilde{w} \in W, \widetilde{z} \in Z$ is a saddle point for f $f(\widetilde{w}, z) \le f(\widetilde{w}, \widetilde{z}) \le f(w, \widetilde{z}), \quad \forall w \in W, z \in Z$ \widetilde{w} minimizes $f(w, \widetilde{z}), \widetilde{z}$ maximizes $f(\widetilde{w}, z)$ $f(\widetilde{w}, \widetilde{z}) = \inf_{w \in W} f(w, \widetilde{z}), \qquad f(\widetilde{w}, \widetilde{z}) = \sup_{z \in Z} f(\widetilde{w}, z)$ Imply the strong max-min property $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \inf_{w \in W} f(w, z) \ge \inf_{w \in W} f(w, \tilde{z}) = f(\tilde{w}, \tilde{z}) \\ f(\tilde{w}, \tilde{z}) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} f(\tilde{w}, z) \ge \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} f(w, z) \end{cases}$ $\Rightarrow \sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \inf_{w \in W} f(w, z) \ge \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} f(w, z)$ $\Rightarrow \sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \inf_{w \in W} f(w, z) = \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} f(w, z)$



Saddle-point Interpretation

- *w̃* ∈ *W*, *ž̃* ∈ *Z* is a saddle point for *f f*(*w̃*, *z*) ≤ *f*(*w̃*, *ž̃*) ≤ *f*(*w*, *ž̃*), ∀*w* ∈ *W*, *z* ∈ *Z w̃* minimizes *f*(*w*, *ž̃*), *ž̃* maximizes *f*(*w̃*, *z*) *f*(*w̃*, *ž̃*) = inf_{*w*∈*W*} *f*(*w*, *ž̃*), *f*(*w̃*, *ž̃*) = sup_{*z*∈*Z*} *f*(*w̃*, *z*)
 If *x**, *λ** are primal and dual optimal points and strong duality holds, *x**, *λ**
 - points and strong duality holds, x^* , λ^* form a saddle-point.
 - If x, λ is saddle-point, then x is primal optimal, λ is dual optimal, and the duality gap is zero.



Outline

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- Examples
- □ Generalized Inequalities



□ Two players

- The 1st player chooses $w \in W$, and the 2nd player selects $z \in Z$
- Player 1 pays an amount f(w, z) to player 2
- Goals
 - Player 1 wants to minimize f
 - Player 2 wants to maximize f
- Continuous game
 - The choices are vectors, and not discrete



Player 1 makes his choice first

- Player 2 wants to maximize payoff f(w,z)and the resulting payoff is $\sup_{z \in Z} f(w,z)$
- Player 1 knows that player 2 will follow this strategy, and so will choose $w \in W$ to make $\sup_{z \in Z} f(w, z)$ as small as possible
- Thus, player 1 chooses

 $\underset{w \in W}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sup_{z \in Z} f(w, z)$

The payoff

 $\inf_{w \in W} \sup_{z \in Z} f(w, z)$



Player 2 makes his choice first

- Player 1 wants to minimize payoff f(w, z)and the resulting payoff is $\inf_{w \in W} f(w, z)$
- Player 2 knows that player 1 will follow this strategy, and so will choose $z \in Z$ to make $\inf_{w \in W} f(w, z)$ as large as possible
- Thus, player 2 chooses

 $\underset{z \in Z}{\operatorname{argmax}} \inf_{w \in W} f(w, z)$

The payoff

 $\sup_{z\in Z}\inf_{w\in W}f(w,z)$







Player 2 plays first

Player 1 plays first

- Player 1 wants to minimize f
- Player 2 wants to maximize f





Strong Max-min Property

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \inf_{w \in W} f(w, z) = \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} f(w, z)$$

Player 2 plays first

Player 1 plays first

- Player 1 wants to minimize f
- Player 2 wants to maximize f





Strong Max-min Property

$$\sup_{z \in Z} \inf_{w \in W} f(w, z) = \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{z \in Z} f(w, z)$$

Player 2 plays first

Player 1 plays first

□ Saddle-point Property

- If \tilde{w}, \tilde{z} is a saddle-point for f (and W, Z), then it is called a solution of the game
 - $\checkmark \widetilde{w}$: the optimal strategy for player 1
 - \checkmark \tilde{z} : the optimal strategy for player 2
 - ✓ No advantage to playing second



A Special Case

D Payoff is the Lagrangian; $W = \mathbf{R}^n, Z = \mathbf{R}^m_+$

- Player 1 chooses the primal variable x while player 2 chooses the dual variable $\lambda \ge 0$
- The optimal choice for player 2, if she must choose first, is any dual optimal λ^*
 - ✓ The resulting payoff: d^*
- Conversely, if player 1 chooses first, his optimal choice is any primal optimal x*
 - ✓ The resulting payoff: p^*
- Duality gap: advantage of going second

NANITIAN UNITA

Outline

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- Examples
- □ Generalized Inequalities



Certificate of Suboptimality

Dual Feasible (λ, v)

- A lower bound on the optimal value of the primal problem $p^* \ge g(\lambda, \nu)$
- Provides a proof or certificate
- Bound how suboptimal a given feasible point x is, without knowing the value of p^* $f_0(x) - p^* \le f_0(x) - g(\lambda, \nu) = \epsilon$
 - $\checkmark x$ is ϵ -suboptimal for primal problem
 - ✓ (λ, ν) is ϵ -suboptimal for dual



Certificate of Suboptimality

- □ Gap between Primal & Dual Objectives $f_0(x) - g(\lambda, \nu)$
 - Referred to as duality gap associated with primal feasible x and dual feasible (λ, v)
 - $x, (\lambda, \nu)$ localizes the optimal value of the primal (and dual) problems to an interval $p^* \in [g(\lambda, \nu), f_0(x)], \quad d^* \in [g(\lambda, \nu), f_0(x)]$

The width of the interval is the duality gap

If duality gap of x, (λ, ν) is 0, then x is primal optimal and (λ, ν) is dual optimal



Stopping Criteria

- □ Optimization algorithms produce a sequence of primal feasible $x^{(k)}$ and dual feasible $(\lambda^{(k)}, \nu^{(k)})$ for k = 1, 2, ...,
- \Box Required absolute accuracy: ϵ_{abs}
- □ A Nonheuristic Stopping Criterion $f_0(x^{(k)}) - g(\lambda^{(k)}, \nu^{(k)}) \le \epsilon_{abs}$
 - Guarantees when algorithm terminates, $x^{(k)}$ is ϵ_{abs} -suboptimal



Stopping Criteria

 \Box A Relative Accuracy $\epsilon_{\rm rel}$ Nonheuristic Stopping Criteria If $\frac{f_0(x^{(k)}) - g(\lambda^{(k)}, \nu^{(k)})}{g(\lambda^{(k)}, \nu^{(k)})} \le \epsilon_{\text{rel}}$ $g(\lambda^{(k)},\nu^{(k)})>0,$ or $f(\alpha(k)) = \alpha(\gamma(k), \alpha(k))$ $f_0(z)$

$$x^{(k)}$$
) < 0,
$$\frac{f_0(x^{(k)}) - g(x^{(k)}, y^{(k)})}{-f_0(x^{(k)})} \le \epsilon_{\mathrm{rel}}$$

Then $p^* \neq 0$, and the relative error satisfies $\frac{f_0(x^{(k)}) - p^*}{|p^*|} \le \epsilon_{\text{rel}}$

NANITIAN UNITA

Outline

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- Examples
- □ Generalized Inequalities



- Suppose Strong Duality Holds
 For primal optimal x* & dual optimal (\u03c0*, v*)
 f_0(x*) = g(\u03c0*, v*)
 - $= \inf_{x} \left(f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* h_i(x) \right)$ $\leq f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* h_i(x^*)$ $\leq f_0(x^*)$
 - ✓ First line: the optimal duality gap is zero
 - Second line: definition of the dual function
 - ✓ Third line: infimum of Lagrangian over x is less than or equal to its value at $x = x^*$



- Suppose Strong Duality Holds
 For primal optimal x* & dual optimal (\u03c0*, \u03c0*)
 f_0(x*) = g(\u03c0*, \u03c0*)
 - $= \inf_{x} \left(f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i^* h_i(x) \right)$ $\leq f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i^* h_i(x^*)$

 $= \int 0 (x^*) \cdot \Delta l = 1 \cdot l \int l (x^*)$

 $\leq f_0(x^*)$

- ✓ Last line: $\lambda_i^* \ge 0, f_i(x^*) \le 0, i = 1, ..., m$ and $h_i(x^*) = 0, i = 1, ..., p$
- We conclude that the two inequalities in this chain hold with equality



- Suppose Strong Duality Holds
 For primal optimal x* & dual optimal (\u03c0*, \u03c0*)
 f_0(x*) = g(\u03c0*, \u03c0*)
 - $= \inf_{x} \left(f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i^* h_i(x) \right)$ $= f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i^* h_i(x^*)$ $= f_0(x^*)$
 - ✓ Equality in the third line implies x^* minimizes $L(x, \lambda^*, \nu^*)$
 - ✓ Equality in the last line implies $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0$



Complementary Slackness

 $\lambda_i^{\star} f_i(x^{\star}) = 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, m$

Derived from $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0$

Holds for any primal optimal x^* and dual optimal λ^*, ν^* (when strong duality holds)

Other expressions

$$\lambda_i^* > 0 \Rightarrow f_i(x^*) = 0$$

$$f_i(x^*) < 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i^* = 0$$

✓ *i*-th optimal Lagrange multiplier is 0 unless *i*th constraint is active at the optimum $f_i(x^*) = 0$

NANITIAN UNITA

Outline

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- Examples
- □ Generalized Inequalities

KKT Conditions for Nonconvex Problems



x* and (λ*, ν*): any primal and dual optimal points with zero duality gap
 x* minimizes L(x, λ*, ν*)

$$\Rightarrow \nabla L(x^{\star},\lambda^{\star},\nu^{\star}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \nabla f_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* \nabla h_i(x^*) = 0$$



KKT Conditions for Nonconvex Problems

 $\Box x^*$ and (λ^*, ν^*) : any primal and dual optimal points with zero duality gap

	$f_i(x^\star) \leq 0$,	$i=1,\ldots,m$
	$h_i(x^\star) = 0$,	$i=1,\ldots,p$
	$\lambda_i^\star \geq 0$,	$i=1,\ldots,m$
	$\lambda_i^{\star} f_i(x^{\star}) = 0,$	i = 1,, m
$+$ ∇m	$2 + \pi c (+) + \nabla n$	

 $\nabla f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \nabla f_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* \nabla h_i(x^*) = 0$

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions

Necessary Condition For optimization problem with differentiable objective and constraint functions for which strong duality obtains, any pair of primal and dual optimal must satisfy KKT conditions.

KKT Conditions for Convex Problems



□ If f_i are convex, h_i are affine, $\tilde{x}, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{v}$ satisfy $f_i(\tilde{x}) \le 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., m$ $h_i(\tilde{x}) = 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., p$ $\tilde{\lambda}_i \ge 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., m$ $\tilde{\lambda}_i f_i(\tilde{x}) = 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., m$ $\nabla f_0(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{\lambda}_i \nabla f_i(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^p \tilde{v}_i \nabla h_i(\tilde{x}) = 0$ □ Then, \tilde{x} and $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{v}$ are primal and dual optimal, with zero duality gap.



For any convex optimization problem with differentiable objective and constraint functions, any points that satisfy the KKT conditions are primal and dual optimal, and have zero duality gap.

KKT Conditions for Convex Problems



- For convex problem satisfying Slater's condition, KKT conditions provide necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality.
 - Slater's condition implies that optimal duality gap is zero and dual optimum is attained
 - x is optimal if and only if there are (λ, ν) that, together with x, satisfy the KKT conditions

KKT Conditions for Convex Problems



- The KKT conditions play an important role in optimization.
 - In a few special cases it is possible to solve the KKT conditions.
 - More generally, many algorithms for convex optimization can be interpreted as methods for solving the KKT conditions



Example

- Equality Constrained Convex Quadratic Minimization
 - Primal Problem (with $P \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$)

min
$$(1/2)x^{T}Px + q^{T}x + r$$

s.t. $Ax = b$

KKT conditions

$$Ax^{\star} = b, Px^{\star} + q + A^{\top}v^{\star} = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} P & A^{\top} \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{\star} \\ v^{\star} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -q \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

✓ Solving this set of m + n equations in m + nvariables x^*, v^* gives optimal primal and dual variables

NANITIAN UNITA

Outline

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- Examples
- □ Generalized Inequalities

Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual

- □ If strong duality holds and a dual optimal solution (λ^*, ν^*) exists, any primal optimal point is also a minimizer of $L(x, \lambda^*, \nu^*)$
 - Suppose the minimizer of $L(x, \lambda^*, \nu^*)$ below is unique

min
$$f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* h_i(x)$$

- If solution is primal feasible, it's primal optimal
- If not primal feasible, no optimal point exists



Example

Entropy Maximization

- Primal Problem (with domain \mathbb{R}^n_{++}) min $f_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i$ s.t. $Ax \leq b$ $\mathbf{1}^T x = 1$
- Dual Problem $(a_i: \text{ the } i\text{-th column of } A)$ $\max -b^{\top}\lambda - \nu - e^{-\nu-1}\sum_{i=1}^n e^{-a_i^{\top}\lambda}$ s.t. $\lambda \ge 0$
- Assume weak Slater's condition holds
 - ✓ There exists an x > 0 with Ax ≤ b, $\mathbf{1}^T x = 1$
 - ✓ So strong duality holds and an optimal solution (λ^*, ν^*) exists



Example

Entropy Maximization

Suppose we have solved the dual problem

• The Lagrangian at (λ^*, ν^*) is

 $L(x,\lambda^{\star},\nu^{\star}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \log x_i + {\lambda^{\star}}^{\top} (Ax - b) + \nu^{\star} (\mathbf{1}^{\top} x - 1)$

 \checkmark Strictly convex on $\mathcal D$ and bounded below

✓ So it has a unique solution $x_i^* = 1/\exp(a_i^T \lambda^* + \nu^* + 1), \quad i = 1, ..., n$

- ✓ If x^* is primal feasible, it must be the optimal solution of the primal problem
- ✓ If x^* is not primal feasible, we can conclude that the primal optimum is not attained

NANITIAN UNITA

Outline

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- **Examples**
- □ Generalized Inequalities





□ Transform the Objective

Implicit Constraints



Unconstrained Problem

min $f_0(Ax+b)$

Lagrange dual function: constant p^*

strong duality holds ($p^* = d^*$), but it is not useful

Reformulation

min $f_0(y)$ s.t. Ax + b = y

Lagrangian of the reformulated problem

 $L(x, y, v) = f_0(y) + v^{\mathsf{T}}(Ax + b - y)$



Unconstrained Problem Find dual function by minimizing L ✓ Minimizing over x, $g(v) = -\infty$ unless $A^T v = 0$ • When $A^{\top}v = 0$, minimizing L gives $g(v) = b^{\top}v + \inf_{v}(f_{0}(y) - v^{\top}y) = b^{\top}v - f_{0}^{*}(v)$ \checkmark f_0^* : conjugate of f_0 Dual problem max $b^{\top}v - f_0^*(v)$ s.t. $A^{\mathsf{T}}\nu = 0$

✓ More useful



Example

Unconstrained Geometric Program

Problem

min log $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \exp\left(a_i^{\mathsf{T}} x + b_i\right)\right)$

Add new variables & equality constraints $\min f_0(y) = \log (\sum_{i=1}^m \exp y_i)$ s.t. Ax + b = y

 $\checkmark a_i^{\mathsf{T}}$: *i*-th row of A

Conjugate of the log-sum-exp function

 $f_0^*(\nu) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_i \log \nu_i & \nu \ge 0, \mathbf{1}^\top \nu = 1\\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$



- Unconstrained Geometric Program
 - Primal Problem

min $f_0(y) = \log (\sum_{i=1}^m \exp y_i)$ s.t. Ax + b = y

Dual of the reformulated problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & b^{\top} \nu - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_i \log \nu_i \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{1}^{\top} \nu = 1 \\ & A^{\top} \nu = 0 \\ & \nu \geqslant 0 \end{array}$$



Example

Norm Approximation Problem Problem (with any norm $\|\cdot\|$) min ||Ax - b|| Constant Lagrange dual function (not useful) Reformulate the problem min ||y||s.t. Ax - b = yLagrange dual problem max $b^{\mathsf{T}} v$ s.t. $\|\nu\|_* \leq 1, A^{\mathsf{T}}\nu = 0$ The conjugate of a norm is the indicator function of the dual norm unit ball



Constraint Functions min $f_0(A_0x+b_0)$ s.t. $f_i(A_i x + b_i) \le 0$, i = 1, ..., m $\blacksquare A_i \in \mathbf{R}^{k_i \times n}; f_i : \mathbf{R}^{k_i} \to \mathbf{R}$ Introduce $y_i \in \mathbf{R}^{k_i}$, i = 0, ..., mmin $f_0(y_0)$ s.t. $f_i(y_i) \le 0$, i = 1, ..., m $A_i x + b_i = y_i, \qquad i = 0, ..., m$ The Lagrangian for the above problem $L(x, y_0, \dots, y_m, \lambda, v_0, \dots, v_m)$ $= f_0(y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(y_i) + \sum_{i=0}^m \nu_i^{\mathsf{T}}(A_i x + b_i - y_i)$



Constraint Functions

Dual function (by minimizing over $x \& y_i$)

✓ Minimum over x is $-\infty$ unless $\sum_{i=0}^{m} A_i^{\top} v_i = 0$

In this case, for
$$\lambda > 0$$
, $g(\lambda, \nu_0, ..., \nu_m)$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i^{\mathsf{T}} b_i + \inf_{y_0, \dots, y_m} \left(f_0(y_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(y_i) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i^{\mathsf{T}} y_i \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i^{\mathsf{T}} b_i + \inf_{y_0} \left(f_0(y_0) - v_0^{\mathsf{T}} y_0 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \inf_{y_i} \left(f_i(y_i) - (v_i/\lambda_i)^{\mathsf{T}} y_i \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i^{\mathsf{T}} b_i - f_0^*(v_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i^*(v_i/\lambda_i)$$



Constraint Functions

- What happens when $\lambda \ge 0$ (but some $\lambda_i = 0$)
 - ✓ If $\lambda_i = 0 \& \nu_i \neq 0$, the dual function is $-\infty$

✓ If $\lambda_i = 0 \& \nu_i = 0$, terms involving y_i, ν_i, λ_i are 0

- The expression for g is valid for all $\lambda \ge 0$ if
 - ✓ Take $\lambda_i f_i^*(\nu_i / \lambda_i) = 0$, when $\lambda_i = 0 \& \nu_i = 0$

✓ Take $\lambda_i f_i^*(\nu_i / \lambda_i) = \infty$, when $\lambda_i = 0 \& \nu_i \neq 0$

Dual Problem

$$\max \sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i^{\mathsf{T}} b_i - f_0^*(v_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i^*(v_i/\lambda_i)$$

s.t. $\lambda \ge 0$, $\sum_{i=0}^{m} A_i^{\mathsf{T}} v_i = 0$



Example

Inequality Constrained Geometric Program

Problem



Example

□ Inequality Constrained Geometric Program ■ Dual problem is max $b_0^{\mathsf{T}}v_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{K_0} v_{0k} \log v_{0k} + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(b_i^{\mathsf{T}}v_i - \sum_{k=1}^{K_i} v_{ik} \log(v_{ik}/\lambda_i) \right)$ s.t. $v_0 \ge 0$, $\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}}v_0 = 1$ $v_i \ge 0$, $\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}}v_i = \lambda_i$, i = 1, ..., m $\lambda_i \ge 0$, i = 1, ..., m $\sum_{i=0}^m A_i^{\mathsf{T}}v_i = 0$



Transform the Objective

- □ Replace the Objective f_0 by an Increasing Function of f_0
 - The resulting problem is equivalent
 - The dual of this equivalent problem can be very different from dual of original problem



Example

 Minimum Norm Problem min ||Ax - b|| Reformulate this problem as min $(1/2)||y||^2$ s.t. Ax - b = y

- Introduce new variables and replace the objective by half its square
- Equivalent to the original problem
- Dual of the reformulated problem

$$\max - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \|\nu\|_*^2 + b^{\mathsf{T}}\nu$$

s.t. $A^{\mathsf{T}}\nu = 0$



Implicit Constraints

- Include Some of the Constraints in the Objective Function
 - Modifying the objective function to be infinite when the constraint is violated



Example

□ Linear Program with Box Constraints

Problem

min $c^{\mathsf{T}}x$

s.t.
$$Ax = b$$

 $l \leq x \leq u$

 $\checkmark A \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times n} \text{ and } l \prec u$

✓ $l \leq x \leq u$ are called box constraints

Derive the dual of this linear program $\min -b^{\top}v - \lambda_{1}^{\top}u + \lambda_{2}^{\top}l$

s.t.
$$A^{\mathsf{T}}\nu + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + c = 0$$

 $\lambda_1 \ge 0, \quad \lambda_2 \ge 0$



Example

Linear Program with Box Constraints

Problem

min $c^{\mathsf{T}}x$

s.t.
$$Ax = b$$

 $l \leq x \leq u$

 $\checkmark A \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times n} \text{ and } l \prec u$

✓ $l \leq x \leq u$ are called box constraints

Reformulate the problem as

 $\min_{\substack{s.t.\\ \forall x \in w}} f_0(x)$ s.t. Ax = b $\int_{\infty} f_0(x) = \begin{cases} c^{\top}x & l \leq x \leq u\\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$



Implicit Constraints

□ Linear Program with Box Constraints

Dual function

$$g(v) = \inf_{\substack{l \le x \le u}} (c^{\mathsf{T}}x + v^{\mathsf{T}}(Ax - b))$$

= $-b^{\mathsf{T}}v - u^{\mathsf{T}}(A^{\mathsf{T}}v + c)^{-} + l^{\mathsf{T}}(A^{\mathsf{T}}v + c)^{+}$
 $\checkmark y_{i}^{+} = \max\{y_{i}, 0\}, y_{i}^{-} = \max\{-y_{i}, 0\}$

- ✓ We can derive an analytical formula for g, which is a concave piecewise-linear function
- Dual problem

$$\max -b^{\top}v - u^{\top}(A^{\top}v + c)^{-} + l^{\top}(A^{\top}v + c)^{+}$$

- Unconstrained problem
- Different form from the dual of original problem

NANITIAN UNITA

Outline

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- Examples
- □ Generalized Inequalities



Generalized Inequalities

- Problems with Generalized Inequality Constraints
 - Primal Problem
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f_0(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & f_i(x) \preccurlyeq_{K_i} 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & h_i(x) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p \end{array}$
 - ✓ $K_i \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{k_i}$ are proper cones
 - Do not assume convexity of the problem
 - Assume the domain is nonempty



□ Lagrangian $L(x, \lambda, \nu) = f_0(x) + \lambda_1^T f_1(x) + \dots + \lambda_m^T f_m(x) + \nu_1 h_1(x) + \dots + \nu_p h_p(x)$ $\checkmark \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m), \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}^{k_i}, \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p)$ □ Dual Function

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{D}} L(x, \lambda, \nu)$$

= $\inf_{x \in \mathcal{D}} \left(f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{\mathsf{T}} f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(x) \right)$

- Lagrangian is affine in dual variables;
- Dual function is pointwise infimum of Lagrangian. So, dual function is concave



□ Nonnegativity on dual variables $\lambda_i \geq_{K_i^*} 0, \quad i = 1, ..., m$

• K_i^* : the dual cone of K_i

Lagrange multipliers must be dual nonnegative

- Weak Duality
 - If $\lambda_i \geq_{K_i^*} 0$ and $f_i(\tilde{x}) \leq_{K_i} 0$, then $\lambda_i^{\mathsf{T}} f_i(\tilde{x}) \leq 0$
 - So, for any primal feasible \tilde{x} and $\lambda_i \geq_{K_i^*} 0$, $f_0(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^\top f_i(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(\tilde{x}) \leq f_0(\tilde{x})$
 - Taking the infimum over \tilde{x} yields $g(\lambda, \nu) \leq p^*$



□ Lagrange dual optimization problem $\max_{\substack{max \\ s.t.}} g(\lambda, \nu)$ $g(\lambda, \nu)$

- Always have weak duality (d* ≤ p*) whether or not the primal problem is convex
- Primal Problem

min
$$f_0(x)$$

s.t. $f_i(x) \leq_{K_i} 0$, $i = 1, ..., m$
 $h_i(x) = 0$, $i = 1, ..., p$



- □ Slater's Condition and Strong Duality
 - Strong duality: $d^* = p^*$
 - Holds when primal problem is convex and satisfies appropriate constraint qualifications
 - For problem (convex f_0 and K_i -convex f_i) min $f_0(x)$ s.t. $f_i(x) \leq_{K_i} 0$, i = 1, ..., mAx = b
 - Generalized version of Slater's condition
 - ✓ $\exists x \in \operatorname{relint} \mathcal{D}, Ax = b, f_i(x) \prec_{K_i} 0, i = 1, ..., m$
 - Implies strong duality and the dual optimum is attained



Example

Lagrange Dual of Cone Program in Standard Form

Primal Problem min $c^{\top}x$ s.t. Ax = b $x \ge_K 0$

✓ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a proper cone

Lagrangian: $L(x, \lambda, \nu) = c^{T}x - \lambda^{T}x + \nu^{T}(Ax - b)$ Dual function

$$g(\lambda,\nu) = \inf_{x} L(x,\lambda,\nu) = \begin{cases} -b^{\top}\nu & A^{\top}\nu - \lambda + c = 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



Example

Lagrange Dual of Cone Program in Standard Form

Dual problem max -b^Tv s.t. A^Tv + c = λ $\lambda \ge_{K^*} 0$ Eliminate λ and define y = -v gives max $b^T y$ s.t. $A^T y \le_{K^*} c$

- ✓ A cone program in inequality form
- Involve the dual generalized inequality
- ✓ Strong duality (Slater condition): $x \succ_K 0, Ax = b$



Complementary Slackness

- Assume primal and dual optimal values are equal, and attained at x^* , λ^* , ν^*
- Complementary slackness $f_0(x^*) = g(\lambda^*, \nu^*)$ $\leq f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{*^\top} f_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* h_i(x^*)$ $\leq f_0(x^*)$
 - ✓ x^* minimizes $L(x, \lambda^*, \nu^*)$
 - The two sums in the second line are zero
 - ✓ The second sum is zero ⇒ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^{\star^{\top}} f_i(x^{\star}) = 0$ ⇒ $\lambda_i^{\star^{\top}} f_i(x^{\star}) = 0$, i = 1, ..., m



Complementary Slackness

- Assume primal and dual optimal values are equal, and attained at x^* , λ^* , ν^*
- Complementary slackness $f_0(x^*) = g(\lambda^*, \nu^*)$ $\leq f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{*^{\mathsf{T}}} f_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* h_i(x^*)$

$$\leq f_0(x^*)$$

✓ From $\lambda_i^{\star \top} f_i(x^{\star}) = 0$, we can conclude $\lambda_i^{\star} \succ_{K_i^{\star}} 0 \Rightarrow f_i(x^{\star}) = 0$, $f_i(x^{\star}) \prec_{K_i} 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i^{\star} = 0$

✓ Possible to satisfy $\lambda_i^{\star^{\top}} f_i(x^{\star}) = 0$ with $\lambda_i^{\star} \neq 0$ 0 & $f_i(x^{\star}) \neq 0$



KKT Conditions

Additionally assume f_i , h_i are differentiable

Generalize the KKT conditions to problems with generalized inequalities

$$x^{\star} \text{ minimizes } L(x, \lambda^{\star}, \nu^{\star})$$

$$\nabla f_0(x^{\star}) + \sum_{i=1}^m Df_i(x^{\star})^{\top} \lambda_i^{\star} + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^{\star} \nabla h_i(x^{\star}) = 0$$

✓ $Df_i(x^*) \in \mathbf{R}^{k_i \times n}$: derivative of f_i evaluated at x^*



□ KKT Conditions

- If strong duality holds, any primal optimal x* and dual optimal (λ*, v*) must satisfy the optimality conditions (or KKT conditions)
 - $f_{i}(x^{*}) \leq_{K_{i}} 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., m$ $h_{i}(x^{*}) = 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., p$ $\lambda_{i}^{*} \geq_{K_{i}^{*}} 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., m$ $\lambda_{i}^{*^{\mathsf{T}}} f_{i}(x^{*}) = 0, \qquad i = 1, ..., m$ $\nabla f_{0}(x^{*}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} D f_{i}(x^{*})^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_{i}^{*} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} v_{i}^{*} \nabla h_{i}(x^{*}) = 0$
 - If the primal problem is convex, the converse also holds



Summary

□ Saddle-point Interpretation

- Max-min Characterization of Weak and Strong Duality
- Saddle-point Interpretation
- Game Interpretation
- Optimality Conditions
 - Certificate of Suboptimality and Stopping Criteria
 - Complementary Slackness
 - KKT Optimality Conditions
 - Solving the Primal Problem via the Dual
- **Examples**
- □ Generalized Inequalities