

Data Mining for M.Sc. students, CS, Nanjing University Fall, 2013, Yang Yu

Lecture 13: Data Mining IV Information Retrieval Systems

http://cs.nju.edu.cn/yuy/course_dm13ms.ashx



Information retrieval systems



Content-based information retrieval: for objects with rich semantics find top *k* objects most similar to the query

- searching historical records of the Dow Jones index for past occurrences of a particular time series pattern
- searching a database of satellite images for any images which contain evidence of recent volcano eruptions in Central America
- searching the Internet for online documents that provide reviews of restaurants in Helsinki

Evaluation

how good is an retrieval system?



unlike classification where labels are given

Evaluation

how good is an retrieval system?





QUERY







for a particular query, objects can be categorized into "relevant" and "irrelevant"



a set queries and pre-labeled relevant/ irrelevant objects





Configurable output





usually a retrieval system evaluates all objects and rank them according to the similarity

classification error?

Precision and recall

Precision: relevant outputs / all outputs Recall: relevant outputs / all relevant objects



should be averaged over all test queries



Precision and recall

Compare retrieval systems



System 1 is better than System 2 System 1 v.s. System 3?



Precision and recall

Compare retrieval systems

Precision/recall at a fixed *k* Area under PR-Curve:

Position where P=R

F-measure:

for arbitrary cut-point

$$F = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{1}{R}\right)}$$

Harmonic mean: the probability of the binary random variable whose expectation equals the average expectation of two binary random variables



Precision v.s. recall

application dependent

Criminal face retrieval: high recall













Recommendation in social network: high precision

















Understand the content: feature extraction





Dictionary: (1,苏州) (2. 南京) (3. 孔子) (4. 老子)...

<u>苏州</u> 老子雕塑卖萌 背对裤衩楼"吐舌扮鬼 脸"(图)
老子雕像继裸女座椅雕塑之后, <u>苏州</u> 金鸡湖畔 的一尊老子雕塑再度引发争议。道家创始人老 子以朴素辩证法思想和无为而治的政治主张, 润泽千年, 成为中华文化不可或缺的瑰宝。然 而, 就是这样一个万民敬仰的圣贤, 在这尊雕 塑上却眼睛紧闭, 舌头伸出, 露出嘴中一个大 门牙, 作出一副"龇牙吐舌"的怪状, 雷倒了 许多路过的市民和游客。昨日, 这尊老子"龇 牙吐舌"的雕塑在微博上被众多网友转发, 一 度引起广泛关注。



Document-term frequency matrix

	t1	t2	t3	t4	t5
D1	24	21	9	0	0
D2	32	10	5	0	3
D3	12	16	5	0	0
D4	6	7	2	0	0
D5	43	31	20	0	3
D6	2	0	0	18	7
D7	0	0	1	32	12
D8	3	0	0	22	4
D9	1	0	0	34	27

cosine similarity:

$$\cos(q, x) = \frac{q^{\top} x}{\|q\| \cdot \|x\|}$$

Query:

(0,0,1,1,0)

features are important to the performance of a retrieval system

Inverse Document Frequency $IDF(t) = \log \left(\frac{\text{Number of total documents}}{\text{Number of documents containing } t \right)$

 $IDF(t1) = \log \frac{10}{9} = 0.1520$ $IDF(t6) = \log_2 \frac{10}{5} = 1$ multiply **Document-term frequency (TF)** t1 t2 t3 t4 t5 t6 24 21 9 0 0 D1 3 D232105030D312165000D4672000 31 20 0 3 43 0 D5 0 0 18 7 2 16 D6 0 1 32 12 D7 0 0 Document-00224003427 D8 3 2 term TF-IDF 25 D9 D10 6 0 0 174 23 matrix

	t1	t2	t3	t4	t5	t6
D1	3.7	21	6.6	0	0	3
D2	4.9	10	3.7	0	1.5	0
D3	1.8	16	3.7	0	0	0
D4	0.9	7	1.5	0	0	0
D5	6.5	31	15	0	1.5	0
D6	0.3	0	0	18	3.6	16
D7	0	0	0.7	32	6.2	0
D8	0.5	0	0	22	2.1	2
D9	0.2	0	0	34	14	25
D10	0.9	0	0	17	2.1	23

Many ways to form features

Term-weighting methods	Rank of method and ave. precision	CACM 3204 docs 64 queries	CISI 1460 docs 112 queries	CRAN 1397 docs 225 queries	INSPEC 12,684 docs 84 queries	MED 1033 docs 30 queries	Averages for 5 collections
 Best fully weighted (tfc · nfx) 	Rank P	1 0.3630	14 0.2189	19 0.3841	3 0.2626	19 0.5628	11.2
 Weighted with inverse frequency f not used for docs (txc·nfx) 	Rank P	25 0.3252	14 0.2189	7 0.3950	4 0.2626	32 0.5542	16.4
 Classical tf × idf No normalization (tfx · tfx) 	Rank P	29 0.3248	22 0.2166	219 0.2991	45 0.2365	132 0.5177	84.4
 Best weighted prob- abilistic (nxx · bpx) 	Rank P	55 0.3090	208 0.1441	11 0.3899	97 0.2093	60 0.5449	86.2
 Classical idf without normalization (bfx · bfx) 	Rank P	143 0.2535	247 0.1410	183 0.3184	160 0.1781	178 0.5062	182
 Binary independence probabilistic (bxx · bpx) 	Rank P	166 0.2376	262 0.1233	154 0.3266	195 0.1563	147 0.5116	159
 Standard weights cosine normalization (original Smart) (txc · txx) 	Rank P	178 0.2102	173 0.1539	137 0.3408	187 0.1620	246 0.4641	184
 Coordination level binary vectors (bxx·bxx) 	Rank P	196 0.1848	284 0.1033	280 0.2414	258 0.0944	281 0.4132	260

Table 4. Performance results for eight term-weighting methods averaged over 5 collections



[Salton and Buckley, 88]



the vector representation usually results high dimensional features

TF-IDF + PCA = LSA (Latent Semantic Analysis)

a dimension in LSA is a weighted combination of words indexing using LSA implicitly involves more key words



common ingredient:

colors RGB, HSV, LIB...



texture

Fourier transformation, wavelets

gradients

edges, descriptors

Global features

1. 3-D color feature vector





- Spatially averaged over the whole image
- Euclidean distance
- 2. k-dimensional color histogram
 - bins selected by partition based-based clustering algorithm such as k means
 - k is application dependent
 - Mahanalobis distance using inverse variances
- 3. 3-D Texture Vector
 - coarseness/scale, directionality, contrast
- 4. shape feature based on area, circularity, eccentricity, axis orientation, moments

Local features

bag-of-words

split the images into small pieces extract a feature vector per piece clustering to find centers of feature vectors each image by a vector of frequency of centers







Local features

The SIFT Object Recognition Algorithm

1201

Incrementally Gaussian Blur The Original Image to Create a Scale Space

Find the Difference Between Adjacent Gaussian Images in Scale Space



Sixteen Histograms are Created Using The Gradients. Using 8 Orientations, This Makes 128-D Feature Vectors. The Gradient of Pixels Around Each Keypoint is Determined At the Gaussian Scale at Which It Was Found Keypoints are Pixels in Difference Images That are Larger Than or Smaller Than all 26 Neighbors



Hundreds of Keypoints are Found

Pic from http://eecs.vanderbilt.edu/CIS/CRL/wm.shtml



Local features Bag of words of SIFT vectors



Pic from http://blogs.oregonstate.edu/hess/sift-library-places-2nd-in-acm-mm-10-ossc/



voice audio: speech-to-text transformation

music audio: extract semantic features

Music: features

frame-level processing

cut frames out

extract frame features

bag-of-frame distribution



Root-Mean-Square (RMS) Energy



[from Markus Schedl and Peter Knees: Music Information Retrieval 2.0, ECIR'12 Tutorial.]

Frames



Music: features

Music: features

Spectral Pattern

transform into spectrum domain

sort the energy in each frequency band of a block of frames





Understand the user

PageRank is a heuristic, data reflect the real needs of users





Transform to binary classification

+











+





Transform to binary classification

+

























learn a binary classifier

weight items by the confidence of the classifier





Binary classification ≠ ranking

+

















same classification error different ranking error



Binary classification ≠ ranking









same ranking error (certain criterion) different classification error



Learning with ranking loss















Learning with ranking loss:

$$\frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} I[f(x_i^+) < f(x_i^-)]$$



RankSVM: using hinge loss [Herbrich et al, 2000; Joachims, 2002; Rakotomamonjy, 2004]

$$\min_{w} \left(\|w\|_{2} + C \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \max\{0, 1 - (f(x_{i}^{+}) - f(x_{i}^{-}))] \right)$$



Learning with ranking loss:

$$\frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} I[f(x_i^+) < f(x_i^-)]$$

RankBoost: using exp-loss [Freund et al, 2003]

Algorithm RankBoost

Given: initial distribution D over $X \times X$. Initialize: $D_1 = D$. For t = 1, ..., T:

- Train weak learner using distribution D_t .
- Get weak ranking $h_t : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$.
- Choose $\alpha_t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Update: $D_{t+1}(x_0, x_1) = \frac{D_t(x_0, x_1) \exp(\alpha_t(h_t(x_0) h_t(x_1)))}{Z_t}$

where Z_t is a normalization factor (chosen so that D_{t+1} will be a distribution).

Output the final ranking:
$$H(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x)$$



Learning with ranking loss









+







learn a ranker

weight items by the ranker output value

Relevance feedback

The lack of labels



a) traditional Web search





Implicit feedback

Click-through data



Mining Clickthrough Data for Collaborative Web Search - Micros...



Involve user features

different users may use the same keywords for different purpose



geographic data

computer configurations

sites visited



